

REBUTTAL to Argument in Favor of Proposition 75

PROPOSERS ARE ONLY *PRETENDING* TO PROTECT WORKERS

Prop. 75's sponsor, Lewis Uhler, told the San Francisco Chronicle on June 8<sup>th</sup> that he designed 75 to target public employees because of their "greed" and "arrogance." Uhler and the big corporations funding 75 aren't trying to protect workers—they're trying to silence them.

WORKERS ALREADY ARE PROTECTED

The U.S. Supreme Court says no public employee can be forced to join a union and contribute dues to politics. Union members already elect their own leaders and participate in internal decisions. Of course, not every member agrees with every decision of the group. That's democracy.

PROP. 75 IS NOT ABOUT FAIRNESS

"This year, our kids' schools are under attack by an initiative paid for by big corporations. It permanently cuts annual school funding by \$4 billion.

"Prop. 75 limits teachers' ability to fight this harmful proposal through our unions, but does nothing to limit the big developers and banks behind this attempt to cut school funding.

SUBJECT TO COURT  
ORDERED CHANGES

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF

PROPOSITION 75

"Prop. 75 is designed to make us spend time and money on a government-imposed bureaucratic process instead of fighting for our schools and our kids."

- Heidi Chipman, Teacher, Kramer Middle School

Others will lose. Nurses fighting for hospital staffing protections... Police and Firefighters fighting against elimination of survivor benefits for those who die in the line of duty. *They are restricted under Prop. 75, but their opponents are not.*

Please stop this unfair attack on teachers, nurses, police and firefighters. Vote NO on Prop. 75.

Visit [www.prop75NO.com](http://www.prop75NO.com).

~~REDACTED~~ Lieutenant Ron Cottingham, President, Peace Officer's Research Association of California

Mary Bergan, President, California Federation of Teachers

Deborah Burger, President, California Nurses Association

SUBJECT TO COURT  
ORDERED CHANGES